#### First AC: Mariusz Trela, Poland (24:13) #AC = 15

problem author: Juliusz Straszyński

Let's focus on one query.

Computing the distance naively is O(L).

There are  $O(n^2)$  pairs of intervals.

So, the brute force is  $O(n^2 \times L) = O(n^3)$ .

## AABABAC

distance(1, 3) = 1

## A A B A B A B C A A B A B A B C

distance(1, 3) = 1

# AABABABC

distance(2, 4) = 0

# A A B A B A B C

distance(3, 5) = 1

O(n) for every shift.

 $O(n^2)$  in total to find all the distances.

How to avoid the q factor in answering queries?

We can't store the  $O(n^2)$  array!

Queries: 2, 4, 7

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \rightarrow & 2 \\ 1 & \rightarrow & 2 \\ 2 & \rightarrow & 2 \\ 3 & \rightarrow & 4 \\ 4 & \rightarrow & 4 \\ 5 & \rightarrow & 7 \\ 6 & \rightarrow & 7 \\ 7 & \rightarrow & 7 \end{array}$ 

Time complexity: O(n<sup>2</sup>) Memory complexity: O(nq)

#### First AC: Costin-Andrei Oncescu, Romania (31:07) #AC = 16

problem author: Karol Pokorski

Easiest possible version

$$F_i = 1, f_i = 1$$
  
 $C_i = 1, c_i = 1$   
 $n = 1$  (one machine)

(consider the most profitable order)

Standard version

F<sub>i</sub> = 1, f<sub>i</sub> = 1  

$$C_{i} = 1, c_{i} = 1$$
  
n = 1 (one machine)

 $O(m \times c_1)$ dp[cores] – the largest profit to have so many cores

**Double version** 

$$F_{i} = 1, f_{i} = 1$$
  
 $C_{i} = 1, c_{i} = 1$   
 $n = 1$  (one machine)

two knapsacks  $O(n \times (n \times C) + m \times (m \times C))$ 

**Double version** 

 $F_i \le f_i$  ← works too  $C_i = 1, c_i = 1$ n = 1 (one machine)

two knapsacks  $O(n \times (n \times C) + m \times (m \times C))$ 

One knapsack with modified items, e.g.:

#### - a task with weight 5 and value 20 - a machine with weight -7 and value -15

We must end with total weight 0 or smaller.

 $O((n + m) \times (n \times C))$ 

#### Sort by $f_i$ , $F_i$ decreasingly.

Then just guarantee that the total weight is 0 or smaller **at every moment of time**.

 $O((n + m) \times (n \times C))$ 

The alternative knapsack

$$V_i = 1, v_i = 1$$

dp[cores] → dp[money]

 $O((n + m) \times n)$ 

#### First AC: Kacper Kluk, Poland (26:04) #AC = 27

problem author: Kamil Dębowski



#### suffix can only improve the answer!



It's enough to consider suffixes! d = x

Modifying the standard LIS algorithm.

30, 60, 10,  $|50, \dots$  1 - 102 - 60

Modifying the standard LIS algorithm.

30, 60, 10, 50, ...

1 – 10 2 – <del>60</del> 50

The LDS from the right.



The LDS from the right.

#### The LDS from the right.

 $1 - 10 \leftarrow \text{longest ending with}$ 2 - 60 a number 49 or smaller

The LDS from the right.

 $\begin{array}{l} 1-10\\ 2-60 \quad \leftarrow \ \text{ok if } x \geq 11 \end{array}$ 

When first going from left, just before "50" remember the length of LIS ending with a number 50+x-1 or smaller.



1 - 102 - 60

## Thank you for your attention. Good luck on Thursday!



#### First 100: Dawid Jamka, Poland (14:31) #AC = 26

problem author: Karol Pokorski

## Triangles

#### First 100: Mariusz Trela, Poland (58:17) #AC = 18

problem author: Kamil Dębowski

Max score: 65 First 65: Costin-Andrei Oncescu, Romania (2:19:09) #65 = 2

problem authors: Dominik Klemba, Kamil Dębowski

 $0100101 = F_2 + F_5 + F_7 = 2 + 8 + 21 = 31$ 

1) Let's find  $X(F_{a[1]})$ 

 $X(F_{a[1]}) = (a[1]-1)/2$ 

2) Let's find  $X(F_{a[1]}+F_{a[2]})$ 

 $X(F_{a[1]}+F_{a[2]}) \approx (a_1 / 2) \cdot ((a_2 - a_1) / 2)$ 

3) General case, values a<sub>i</sub> far away from each other.

$$X \approx (a_1 / 2) \cdot ((a_2 - a_1) / 2) \cdot ((a_3 - a_2) / 2) \cdot \dots$$
$$X \approx (d_1 / 2) \cdot (d_2 / 2) \cdot (d_3 / 2) \cdot (d_4 / 2) \cdot \dots$$

#### (d<sub>i</sub> are distances between sorted a<sub>i</sub>)

The O(n<sup>2</sup>) solution,  $|a_i - a_j| \ge 2$ For every prefix, sort values  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_k$ , and run O(k) dynamic programming dp[2].

...00010001...

...00010110...

...00021010...  $\leftarrow$  dp[0] is the number of ways to choose values on the right so that the next 1 on the left must be changed to smaller 1's (pushed further to the left)

dp[1] means: we can leave the next 1 unchanged

...00010001...

...00021010...  $\leftarrow$  dp[0] is the number of ways to choose values on the right so that the next 1 on the left must be changed to smaller 1's (pushed further to the left) dp[1] means: we can leave the next 1 unchanged

dp'[0] = dp[0] + dp[1] (if distance is even, else 0)

 $dp'[1] = (dp[0] + dp[1]) \cdot (distance - 1) / 2 + dp[1]$ 

- What if  $a_i = a_i + 1$ ?
- ...001100...

Possible chain effect, amortized O(n) in total

...0010101010... ...001101010... ...00001101010... ...0000011010...

"a, are different squares of natural numbers"

"a, are different even numbers"

No collisions.

Then we already have an  $O(n^2)$  solution.

What if  $a_i = a_i$ ?

#### ...000200... ...010010... because $2 \cdot F_{k} = F_{k+1} + F_{k-2}$

Doesn't amortize :(

What if  $a_j = a_i$ ? Doesn't amortize :(

O(n<sup>2</sup>) solution: resolve conflicts in any way in recompute the answer in O(n) each time

Let's try to avoid recomputing the answer.

Let's try to avoid recomputing the answer.

- a segment tree (either off-line or BST)
- matrix 2x2 or 3x3 in every node
- O(log(n)) per change

Last steps.

way I – distances between consecutive 1's

way II – maximal intervals of type 1010101

#### Thank you for your attention.

#### Good luck on IOI!